

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,399	11/19/2003	Randall J. Huebner	ACM 371	7482
23581 7590 05/18/2007 KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C. 200 PACIFIC BUILDING			EXAMINER	
			RAMANA, ANURADHA	
520 SW YAMHILL STREET PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	10/717,399	HUEBNER, RANDALL J.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Anu Ramana	3733		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/20/ 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims		•		
4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 14-25 is/are pending in the aday of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 14-20 and 24 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11,21 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 22 and 23 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/19/03 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/717,399

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 9, it is unclear what type of reference mark is being claimed. Is the reference mark a line or dot?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deaux (EP0773004 A1)

Deaux discloses first and second plate members (A, B) structured to be attached to a bone such that the plate members are disposed under skin and are connected by a joint such that the relative disposition of the plate members is adjustable and a graduated scale or "a plurality of reference marks" on the outer face of a plate member to visibly indicate the relative disposition of the plate members (Figs. 1 and 2 and English Abstract)

The method steps of claims 1 and 21 are performed during normal use of the Beaux bone plate system for bone fixation.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,399

Art Unit: 3733

Claims 1, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schumacher et al. (US 6,129,728).

Schumacher et al. disclose first and second bone plates 92 and 93 and a plurality of serrations or "reference marks" 98 disposed on each of the plates and a joint (30, 32) allowing pivotal movement of the first and second bone plates relative to one another (Figs. 9 and 10 and col. 6, lines 35-65).

The method steps of claims 1 and 21 are performed during normal use of the Schumacher et al. bone plate system for bone fixation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14-20 and 24 are allowed.

Claims 22 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on February 20, 2007 have been fully considered.

The Terminal Disclaimer filed on February 20, 2007 has been approved.

Accordingly, the double patenting rejections made in the previous office action have been overcome.

Applicants' arguments with respect to the rejections of claims 1 and 21 as being anticipated by Schumacher et al. are not persuasive for the following reason.

During examination, claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow. This means that the words of the claim must be given their plain meaning unless applicant has provided a clear definition in the specification. In re Zletz, 13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The term "distinct" only requires that the reference marks be "readily distinguishable" or "clearly defined" with respect to the plate

Art Unit: 3733

members (Source: The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language: Fourth Edition. 2000).

Page 4

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR May 12, 2007

> Anu Ramana Patent Examiner Art Unit 3733

huralla lamara

EDUAP//O //. POPERT
SUPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER